Peloruside A Does Not Bind to the Taxoid Site on β -Tubulin and Retains Its Activity in Multidrug-Resistant Cell Lines

Thomas N. Gaitanos,¹ Rubén M. Buey,³ J. Fernando Díaz,³ Peter T. Northcote,² Paul Teesdale-Spittle,¹ José M. Andreu,³ and John H. Miller¹

Schools of ¹Biological Sciences and ²Chemical and Physical Sciences, Victoria University of Wellington, Wellington, New Zealand, and ³Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Madrid, Spain

Abstract

Peloruside A (peloruside), a microtubule-stabilizing agent from a marine sponge, is less susceptible than paclitaxel to multidrug resistance arising from overexpression of the P-glycoprotein efflux pump and is not affected by mutations that affect the taxoid binding site of β -tubulin. *In vitro* studies with purified tubulin indicate that peloruside directly induces tubulin polymerization in the absence of microtubule-associated proteins. Competition for binding between peloruside, paclitaxel, and laulimalide revealed that peloruside binds to a different site on tubulin to paclitaxel. Moreover, laulimalide was able to displace peloruside, indicating that peloruside and laulimalide may compete for the same or overlapping binding sites. It was concluded that peloruside and laulimalide have binding properties that are distinct from other microtubule-stabilizing compounds currently under investigation.

Introduction

Peloruside A (peloruside), a potent cytotoxin isolated from a New Zealand marine sponge (1, 2), is available both synthetically (3) and from the aquacultured sponge (unpublished observations). It is active at nanomolar concentrations and has been shown to induce apoptosis in cultured cells (2). A total synthesis of peloruside has recently been reported (3). Studies in our laboratory have shown that peloruside works in a manner similar to paclitaxel by stabilizing the polymerized form of microtubules (4). Microtubule-stabilizing compounds can be classified into three groups: (a) the terpenoids, including paclitaxel, taxotere, and eleutherobin/sarcodictyin; (b) the macrolides, including peloruside, epothilone, and laulimalide; and (c) the polyhydroxylated alkatetraene lactones, including discodermolide. Paclitaxel and taxotere are currently used for the treatment of solid tumors of the breast, ovary, and lung (5). Because of its lipophilic nature, paclitaxel has two major disadvantages as an anticancer drug. First, it must be delivered in a vehicle, Cremophor, that causes major undesirable side effects (6). Second, it is effectively pumped out of cells that overexpress the P-glycoprotein (P-gp) efflux system (7). Activation of the P-gp efflux pump (MDR-1) in tumor cells leads to the development of multidrug resistance (MDR; Ref. 7). In addition to overexpression of P-gp, some cells become resistant to paclitaxel as a result of M40 human B-tubulin gene mutations that affect the taxoid binding site (8-11). It is therefore important to identify drugs that have similar or improved pharmacological properties to paclitaxel that are also effective in paclitaxel-resistant cancer cells. Most of the microtubule-stabilizing agents have been examined for their susceptibility to MDR, and three look particularly promising because of their lack of interaction with the P-gp pump: epothilone (9-12); discodermolide (13); and laulimalide (11, 14). Recently, it was shown that laulimalide is not only more toxic than paclitaxel in cells with a MDR phenotype, but it also binds to a different site on tubulin to paclitaxel, epothilone, and discodermolide (11). Because laulimalide does not compete with paclitaxel for binding, it was also found to be effective in paclitaxelresistant cells that have β -tubulin gene mutations that modify the taxoid binding site (11), thus providing additional evidence that the laulimalide binding site is unique to that of paclitaxel. Interestingly, epothilone and discodermolide, both of which compete with paclitaxel for binding to β -tubulin, have different sensitivities to particular mutations of the β -tubulin gene (9). The taxoid binding site has been modeled in detail, but nothing is known about the newly described second site to which laulimalide binds (11). The aim of the present study was to investigate the cytotoxicity of peloruside in paclitaxel and epothilone B-resistant cell lines that either overexpress the P-gp efflux pump or have mutations in the β -tubulin gene. A second aim was to demonstrate that peloruside polymerizes microtubules by binding directly to tubulin rather than binding indirectly through other proteins such as microtubule-associated proteins. A third aim was to use competitive binding experiments to determine whether peloruside binds to either the paclitaxel or laulimalide binding sites or to a unique site of its own.

Materials and Methods

Materials. Peloruside (548.3 Da; protonated form, Fig. 1) was isolated from the marine sponge, *Mycale hentscheli*, collected in Pelorus Sound off the northern coast of the South Island, New Zealand (1). Peloruside was stored at -20° C as a 1 mM solution in absolute ethanol or as a 10 mM solution in DMSO. Paclitaxel and epothilone B were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). Laulimalide was kindly provided by Dr. Arun K. Ghosh (University of Illinois, Chicago, IL). Docetaxel was kindly provided by Rhône Poulenc Rorer (Aventis, Schiltigheim, France). Flutax-2 (7-*O*-[*N*-(2,7-difluoro-4'-fluoresceincarbonyl)-L-alanyl]paclitaxel) was provided by Dr. Francisco Amat-Gerri, dissolved in DMSO, and its concentration measured spectrophotometrically [$\epsilon_{496} = 49100 \text{ cm}^{-1}\text{M}^{-1}$ in 50 mM sodium phosphate, 0.5% SDS (pH 7.0)].

The parental (AUXB1) and MDR-overexpressing (CH^RC5) Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cell lines (15) were a gift from Dr. Michael Berridge (Malaghan Institute for Medical Research, Wellington, New Zealand), and the parental (A2780) and P-gp-overexpressing (A2780AD) human ovarian carcinoma cell lines (13) were a gift from Dr. Steven Williams (Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA). The parental (1A9) and β -tubulin mutant (PTX10,

Received 3/3/04; revised 4/17/04; accepted 6/2/04.

Grant support: Ministerio de Ciencia y Technologia Grant BIO2001-1725, Comunidad de Madrid Grant 07B/0026/2002, the Wellington Medical Research Foundation of New Zealand, the Wellington Division of the Cancer Society of New Zealand, the New Zealand Foundation of Research, Science, and Technology, and Victoria University of Wellington. T. N. Gaitanos was supported by a Victoria University postgraduate fellowship, and R. M. Buey was supported by a Ministerio de Cultura Educación y Deporte Formación de Profesorado Universitario predoctoral fellowship.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Note: T. N. Gaitanos and R. M. Buey contributed equally to the work; Requests for information on the tubulin polymerization or microtubule binding methods or results should be sent to Fernando Díaz, Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Madrid, Spain. E-mail: fer@akilonia.cib.csic.es.

Requests for reprints: John H. Miller, School of Biological Sciences, Victoria University of Wellington, P. O. Box 600, Wellington, New Zealand. E-mail: john.h. miller@vuw.ac.nz.



Fig. 1. Structure of peloruside A.

PTX22, A8, and B10) human ovarian carcinoma cell lines (8) were a gift from Dr. Paraskevi Giannakakou (Emory University, Atlanta, GA).

Cell Culture and Cell Proliferation Assay. CHO and human ovarian carcinoma cell lines were cultured at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ in air atmosphere using standard techniques. The CHO auxotroph cells were cultured in α -MEM medium supplemented with 10% FCS, 10 μ M hypoxanthine, 10 μ M glycine, 10 μ M thymidine, 10 μ M adenine, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 μ g/ml streptomycin. The ovarian carcinoma cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% FCS, 0.25 units/ml insulin, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 μ g/ml streptomycin. A cell proliferation assay was used as previously described (2), involving the reduction of the dye 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) by viable cells.

Ligand-Induced Microtubule Assembly. Samples of purified GTP-bound tubulin, free from microtubule-associated proteins, were prepared in the appropriate buffer as described previously (16). Before microtubule assembly, the ligand was added at 0-2°C, and the critical concentration (Cr) of ligandinduced tubulin assembly was measured in 10 mM sodium phosphate, 1 mM EDTA, 4 mM MgCl₂, and 1 mM GTP (pH 7.0) buffer (PEDTA4-1 mM GTP buffer). Control experiments showed that tubulin at concentrations up to 200 μ M did not assemble in the absence of exogenous ligands (16). Increasing concentrations of GTP-tubulin up to 60 µM were incubated for 45 min at 37°C in the presence of the ligand at a concentration 10% in excess over tubulin (molar ratio of 1.1). The polymerized tubulin was centrifuged at 90,000 \times g for 10 min in a TLA 100 rotor (Beckman Instruments, Fullerton, CA) preequilibrated at 37°C. The supernatants were collected by pipette, and the pellets were resuspended in 10 mM sodium phosphate, 1% SDS (pH 7.0) buffer. Tubulin concentrations in the supernatants and pellets were measured fluorometrically, using tubulin as a standard. The Cr of tubulin was calculated from a graph of tubulin concentration in the supernatants and pellets versus total tubulin (Fig. 2; Refs. 17, 18).

Flutax-2 Displacement Test. The binding of ligands to the paclitaxel binding site of microtubules was tested by competition with the fluorescent taxoid probe, Flutax-2, using cross-linked microtubules (19, 20). The displacement isotherms of the ligands were measured several times in different plates by the anisotropy of the fluorescence, employing a fluorescence polarization microplate reader at 37°C, as described previously (11, 21, 22). The binding constant of the reference ligand Flutax-2 has been determined in earlier studies to be 2.2×10^7 M⁻¹ (19, 22). Increasing competitor concentrations up to 100 μ M were set up against 50 nM Flutax-2 and 50 nM cross-linked microtubules in buffer consisting of 10 mM sodium phosphate, 3.4 M glycerol, 1 mM EGTA, 6 mM MgCl₂, and 0.1 mM GTP (pH 6.5; GAB-0.1 mM GTP buffer).

Mass Spectrometry Detection of Ligand Binding to Microtubules. Samples containing ligand and either stabilized cross-linked microtubules or native ones were incubated for 45 min at 37°C in Beckman polycarbonate centrifuge tubes in GAB-0.1 mM GTP buffer. The samples were centrifuged at 90,000 × g for 10 min at 37°C. The supernatants were collected by pipette and the pellets resuspended in 10 mM phosphate (pH 7.0) buffer. Both the pellets and supernatants were extracted three times with an excess volume of dichloromethane, dried in vacuum, and dissolved in 30 μ l of a methanol/water (v/v:70/30) mixture. Mass spectra were generated by laser desorption/ionization experiments performed in a BIFLEX III time-of-flight instrument (Bruker-Franzen Analytik, Bremen, Germany) operated in the positive mode. Samples were analyzed in the reflection mode, with typically 100 laser shots summed into a single mass spectrum. External calibration was performed using the monoisotopic peaks of angiotensin (*m*/z 1046.5) and the matrix α -cyano-4-

hydroxycinnamic acid (m/z 379) recorded in a single spectrum. Aqueous 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (10 mg/ml) was used as the sample matrix. Equal volumes (0.5 μ l) of the sample solution and the matrix were spotted on the target grid and air-dried. Peaks corresponding to laulimalide+Na⁺ (m/z: 537.8), laulimalide+K⁺ (m/z: 554.9), paclitaxel+K⁺ (m/z: 876.8), and peloruside+Na⁺ (m/z: 571.3) were observed in the mass spectra.

Electron Microscopy. Aliquots of ligand-induced microtubule solutions were adsorbed onto Formvar/carbon-coated 300 mesh copper grids, stained with 2% uranyl acetate, and observed with a Jeol 1230 transmission electron microscope (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan).

Results

Peloruside Cytotoxicity in MDR Cell Lines. Peloruside IC₅₀ values in parental and MDR cell lines are presented in Table 1. In CHO cells, the ratios of activities of peloruside and epothilone B in CH^RC5 cells relative to AUXB1 cells were not significantly different from each other; however, when paclitaxel was tested, the CH^RC5 MDR cells were found to be 312-fold more resistant than the parental cell line (P < 0.015; Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric test). The peloruside and epothilone B resistance ratios of 26 and 15, respectively, indicate that both compounds are transported to some extent by the P-gp efflux pump. Sensitivity to verapamil, an inhibitor of the P-gp pump, confirmed that most of the resistance in the MDR cell line was due to the action of the efflux pump, particularly with paclitaxel, which showed the greatest resistance ratio of the three (P < 0.01; Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric test) but that some P-gp-related resistance or a direct toxic effect of verapamil unrelated to P-gp was also present in the parental cell line (P < 0.05; Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric test). Similar results were obtained for the human ovarian cancer cell line A2780 and its MDR derivative, A2780AD. Again, the MDR cells were somewhat more resistant to peloruside than to epothilone B (Table 1). As with the CHO cells, however, there was a much larger difference between paclitaxel and either peloruside or epothilone B (P < 0.001; Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric test). The verapamil results in the human ovarian cell line suggest that, unlike the situation with AUXB1 CHO cells, there is little P-gp activity in the parental cell line, but, as with the CHRC5 cells, there is a large verapamil-induced decrease in IC_{50} in the MDR cell line (P < 0.05; Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric test). Interestingly, we found that, although peloruside at the highest concentrations killed all of the A2780AD cells in the cultures with and without verapamil, neither



Fig. 2. Peloruside directly polymerizes tubulin in the absence of microtubule-associated proteins. Ligand-induced polymerization of GTP-tubulin in PEDTA4-1 mM GTP at 37° C, measured by sedimentation: pelleted tubulin (*filled symbols*); tubulin in the supernatant (*open symbols*); paclitaxel (*squares*); peloruside (*circles*); and no drug (*triangles*). *Inset:* electron micrograph of peloruside-induced microtubules in PEDTA4-1 mM GTP at 37° C. The *bar* represents 50 nm.

Table 1	Cell lines	resistant to	o paclitaxel	and epothilone	B retain	sensitivity t	o pelorusid
---------	------------	--------------	--------------	----------------	----------	---------------	-------------

	AUXB1 (IC ₅₀ пм)	СН ^R C5 (IC ₅₀ пм)	Resistance ratio (CH ^R C5/AUXB1)	А2780 (IC ₅₀ пм)	А2780AD (IC ₅₀ пм)	Resistance ratio (A2780AD/A2780)
MDR overexpression						
Peloruside A	17 ± 1	427 ± 58	26 ± 3	66 ± 21	455 ± 79	21 ± 11
(verapamil ratio)	(5 ± 4)	(31 ± 7)		(1.2 ± 0.2)	(44 ± 24)	
Epothilone B	0.6 ± 0.1	7.0 ± 1.5	15 ± 2	3.0 ± 0.6	3.4 ± 0.5	1.9 ± 0.6
(verapamil ratio)	(5 ± 2)	(19 ± 13)		(1.5 ± 0.2)	(6.3 ± 1.2)	
Paclitaxel	40 ± 9	5887 ± 1432	312 ± 158	53 ± 21	6358 ± 951	1417 ± 703
(verapamil ratio)	(83 ± 64)	(407 ± 252)		(2.7 ± 1.7)	(478 ± 205)	
β -Tubulin mutations	1А9 (IC ₅₀ nм)		РТХ10 (IC ₅₀ nм)	Resistance ratio (PTX10/1A9)	А8 (IC ₅₀ пм)	Resistance ratio (A8/1A9)
Peloruside A	16 ± 2		51 ± 10	3.3 ± 0.5	17 ± 2	1.2 ± 0.2
Epothilone B	2.3 ± 0.4		11 ± 2	4.8 ± 0.4	22 ± 3	9.6 ± 0.5
Paclitaxel	2.0 ± 0.5		196 ± 14	133 ± 50	16 ± 2	9.4 ± 1.9
	1А9 (IC ₅₀ пм)		РТХ22 (IC ₅₀ пм)	Resistance ratio (PTX22/1A9)	B10 (IC ₅₀ nм)	Resistance ratio (B10/1A9)
Peloruside A	27 ± 3		21 ± 4	0.80 ± 0.15	29 ± 4	1.1 ± 0.1
Epothilone B	4.0 ± 0.4		3.6 ± 1.6	0.90 ± 0.33	43 ± 9	10.8 ± 1.6
Paclitaxel	7 ± 2		99 ± 9	17.5 ± 4.0	21 ± 6	3.7 ± 1.7

NOTE. Peloruside A, epothilone B, and paclitaxel IC₅₀ values in parental cell lines are compared with cell lines that overexpress P-gp and cell lines with β -tubulin mutations. Parental cell lines include AUXB1 (CHO), A2780 (human ovarian carcinoma), and 1A9 (a clone of A2780). The respective MDR-expressing cell lines are CH^RC5 and A2780AD, and the tubulin mutant cell lines are PTX10, A8, PTX22, and B10. IC₅₀ values in nM (mean \pm SE) were determined after 4 days exposure to drugs using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide cell proliferation assay (n = 5-8 preparations, duplicate wells at each concentration/96-well plate). The effect of verapamil (10 μ M), an inhibitor of the P-gp efflux pump, was tested concurrently in paired, duplicate wells for the MDR cell lines, and the IC₅₀ ratio of [drug/drug plus verapamil] is given in parentheses below the drug IC₅₀ values. The tubulin mutant cell lines were tested in two sets of five experiments (set one = 1A9, PTX10, A8; set two = 1A9, PTX22, B10).

paclitaxel nor epothilone B were able to completely kill the cells, even at concentrations in the micromolar range. The greatest inhibition of cell proliferation, measured by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide dye reduction, in A2780AD cells compared with untreated controls was found to be only $82 \pm 2\%$ for epothilone B in cells not treated with verapamil (n = 9) and 70 \pm 3% for epothilone B in cells treated with verapamil (n = 9). The maximum inhibition by paclitaxel in verapamil-treated cells was only $68 \pm 3\%$ (*n* = 8). The maximum inhibition by paclitaxel in A2780AD cells without verapamil could not be calculated because the high resistance of these cells to the drug prevented the maximum inhibition from being reached even at the highest concentrations tested. In A2780 cells, AUXB1 cells, and CH^RC5 cells, with or without verapamil, the maximum inhibition of cell proliferation was always nearly complete (95-100%). The significance of the residual viability in A2780AD cells after paclitaxel and epothilone B exposure is not known.

Peloruside Cytotoxicity in *β*-Tubulin Mutant Cell Lines. The effects of mutant β -tubulins on IC₅₀ values for peloruside, epothilone B, and paclitaxel were tested (Table 1). The paclitaxel-resistant cell lines PTX10, PTX22, and A8 showed high resistance ratios (133-, 18-, and 9-fold, respectively) for paclitaxel compared with the parental cell line 1A9 (P < 0.01; Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric test). Although these resistances are less than those seen with cells that overexpress P-gp (312- and 1417-fold; Table 1), the values are similar to those measured by others in these cell lines (8, 9, 11, 13). As reported previously by Pryor et al. (11) and as seen in our study, A8 and B10 cells are more resistant to epothilone B than PTX10 and PTX22 cells. Neither A8 nor B10 cells showed any resistance to peloruside. The resistance to epothilone B in A8 cells was similar to the resistance to paclitaxel (9-fold); thus, the amino acid substitution in these cells (BT274I; Ref. 9) presumably affects binding of both epothilone B and paclitaxel but not peloruside binding (P < 0.01; Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric test). The amino acid changes in PTX10 cells (\(\beta F270V)\) and PTX22 cells (\(\beta A364T; Ref. 8)\), however, have only small effects on either peloruside or epothilone B cytotoxicity but cause major increases in resistance to paclitaxel (Table 1). From these results, it can be seen that, similar to the situation already reported for laulimalide by Pryor et al. (11), neither PTX10, PTX22, nor A8 cells display major resistances to peloruside (resistance ratios of 3.5, 0.8, and 1.2, respectively) compared with their large resistance ratios to paclitaxel, although these three cell lines have β -tubulin mutations that affect the taxoid binding site. The epothilone-resistant cell line B10 (11-fold resistance to epothilone B) with an amino acid change of β R282Q showed low resistance to paclitaxel (4-fold) and no resistance to peloruside (P < 0.005; Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric test).

Ligand-Induced Microtubule Assembly. The biochemical interactions between peloruside and microtubules were investigated. The structure of peloruside is given in Fig. 1. We first checked whether peloruside was able to induce tubulin polymerization in PEDTA4-1 mM GTP buffer. In this buffer, tubulin is unable to self-assemble in the absence of a microtubule stabilizing agent (Cr > 200 μ M; Ref. 16). Peloruside induced microtubule assembly at a Cr of 12.0 ± 1.3 μ M (Fig. 2), indicating that it is a weaker microtubule stabilizing agent than paclitaxel (Cr = 4.2 ± 0.2 μ M) and taxotere (Cr = 1.5 ± 0.1 μ M). The polymerized microtubules showed typical morphology on transmission electron microscopy (Fig. 2, *inset*). The lateral projections of negatively stained microtubules assembled in the presence of peloruside are characteristic of 12 protofilament microtubules, similar to those induced by paclitaxel (23).

Flutax-2 Displacement Test. Because peloruside was able to induce tubulin assembly in a paclitaxel-like manner, we next checked whether peloruside was able to bind to the paclitaxel site, using a Flutax-2 displacement test (Fig. 3; Refs. 11, 20–22). Concentrations up to a 2000-fold excess of peloruside over Flutax-2 (100 μ M against 50 nM) were used for this test. Peloruside behaved in the same way as laulimalide; thus, neither ligand displaces Flutax-2 from its binding site.

Binding of Peloruside to Microtubules. Binding of peloruside to microtubules was checked by mass spectrometry. Samples containing ligand and cross-linked stabilized microtubules were incubated for 45 min at 37°C in GAB-0.1 mM GTP buffer. After centrifugation, the pellets and supernatants were extracted with dichloromethane, dried, and dissolved in methanol/water. A peak corresponding to peloruside-sodium was observed in the extracted pellets (Fig. 4*A*), confirming that peloruside is able to bind to stabilized microtubules. As a control of the method, the binding of laulimalide to cross-linked microtubules was also confirmed (Fig. 4*B*). Control experiments were performed with non-cross-linked microtubules, and peloruside was again able to bind to the polymerized tubulin (data not presented).

Because peloruside does not bind to the paclitaxel site on the microtubule, we tested whether laulimalide was able to displace peloruside from its binding site. This would provide information on whether peloruside binds to the same (or an overlapping) site as



Fig. 3. Inability of peloruside or laulimalide to displace Flutax-2 from its binding site. Inhibition of the binding of Flutax-2 (50 nM) to paclitaxel stabilized binding sites by paclitaxel (up to 5 μ M; \bigcirc), docetaxel (up to 5 μ M; \bigcirc) but neither by laulimalide (up to 25 μ M; \bigcirc) nor peloruside (up to 100 μ M; \bullet). Data are presented as the mean \pm SE (n = 4 experiments).

laulimalide. For this test, samples were prepared with laulimalide added at 10-fold excess to both the peloruside and stabilized microtubule concentrations. No peloruside was seen in the pellet, only in the supernatant (Fig. 4*C*), indicating that laulimalide competes with peloruside for binding. As a control, samples of stabilized microtubules with a similar excess of paclitaxel over peloruside were prepared. Both peloruside and paclitaxel were found in the pellet (Fig. 4*D*), confirming that paclitaxel does not compete for the same site as peloruside.

Discussion

Peloruside Cytotoxicity in MDR Cell Lines. The IC_{50} results in cell lines that overexpress the P-gp efflux pump indicate that peloru-

side, as with epothilone B, discodermolide, and laulimalide, is not as good a substrate for the P-gp pump as paclitaxel. Thus, peloruside remains cytotoxic at low concentrations in MDR-overexpressing cells that are resistant to paclitaxel. This property is important in the development of peloruside as an anticancer drug because tumor cells that become resistant to paclitaxel over prolonged treatment periods would be sensitive to peloruside, thus reestablishing drug-induced tumor regression that is lost when cells take on the MDR phenotype. Peloruside appeared to be somewhat less effective than epothilone B, however, in both MDR cell lines, particularly the A2780AD human ovarian cancer cells, although in another study, an epothilone B resistance ratio of 15 was reported for A2780AD cells (11). This value is considerably higher than the 2-fold increased resistance that we found for epothilone B in these cells but is similar to the value of 21 that we measured for peloruside. The actual IC50 values for epothilone B and paclitaxel were similar between our study and that of Pryor et al. (11). When compared with laulimalide, which is reported to have an increased resistance of 8-fold in this same cell line (11), peloruside is a slightly better substrate for the P-gp pump in both CH^RC5 cells (26-fold) and A2780AD cells (21-fold). In a different study, Mooberry et al. (14) tested laulimalide in the human ovarian carcinoma cell line SK-VLB and found a MDR resistance of 105-fold. Interestingly, isolaulimalide, the degradation product of laulimalide, is only cytotoxic at micromolar concentrations and is not affected by an increased level of P-gp expression, suggesting that the epoxide moiety of laulimalide is required for its interaction with the MDR efflux pump (14). A potential advantage of peloruside over laulimalide as a drug for additional anticancer development is the fact that peloruside is more stable than laulimalide, which may convert to its less potent isolaulimalide form (14). This has implications in terms of the pharmacokinetic effects that control the duration of action of laulimalide in vivo.

Fig. 4. Displacement of peloruside from its binding site by laulimalide. Mass spectra of extracted microtubule pellets and supernatants. *A*, peloruside (5 μ M) and stabilized microtubules (5 μ M), *B*, laulimalide (5 μ M) and stabilized microtubules (5 μ M), *B*, laulimalide (5 μ M) and stabilized microtubules (5 μ M), *B*, laulimalide (5 μ M) and stabilized microtubules (5 μ M), and stabilized microtubules (5 μ M), and stabilized microtubules (5 μ M), and stabilized microtubules (5 μ M); the supernatant spectrum in *C* is scaled down by a factor of 2 for presentation purposes). D, peloruside (5 μ M), paclitaxel (Taxol; 50 μ M), and stabilized microtubules (5 μ M; the pellet spectrum for paclitaxel in *D* is scaled up by a factor of 2 for presentation purposes). Laulimalide+Na⁺ (m/z) = 537.8; laulimalide+K⁺ (m/z) = 554.9; peloruside+Na⁺ (m/z) = 571.3; paclitaxel+K⁺ (m/z) = 876.8.

Peloruside Cytotoxicity in β-Tubulin Mutant Cell Lines. Tumor cells can become resistant to paclitaxel as a result of mutations in the β -tubulin gene that alter the taxoid binding site. In this regard, peloruside and laulimalide have an advantage over other microtubulestabilizing agents such as paclitaxel, epothilone, or discodermolide because neither peloruside nor laulimalide binds to the taxoid site. Pryor *et al.* (11) confirmed that ovarian cancer cells with β -tubulin mutations affecting the toxicity of paclitaxel (PTX10, PTX22, and A8) and epothilone B (A8 and B10; Refs. 8, 9) were not resistant to laulimalide. The PTX10 and PTX22 cell lines were derived from the 1A9 parental cell line with mutations at amino acid positions β F270V and β A364T, respectively (8). The A8 and B10 cell lines, also derived from 1A9, have mutations at amino acid positions β T274I and β R282Q, respectively (9). We found similar results with peloruside to those reported for laulimalide (11). None of the paclitaxel or epothilone-resistant cell lines expressing single amino acid mutations in the β-tubulin gene were resistant to peloruside. In PTX10 and PTX22 cells, resistance to epothilone B, as with peloruside and laulimalide, is not greatly increased relative to the parental cell line, presumably because the specific amino acid substitutions are not directly involved in epothilone B binding to the paclitaxel site. Amino acid substitutions at β 1–31, β 217–233, β 270, β 274, β 282, and β 364 have all been identified as important areas for paclitaxel binding and cluster close to the known binding site (9). Amino acid β270 (PTX10 cells), however, affects the resistance of the cells to paclitaxel but not to epothilone B, whereas amino acid \beta 282 (B10 cells) affects the resistance to epothilone B but not paclitaxel. These results suggest that although paclitaxel and epothilone B compete for the same site on β -tubulin, their specific amino acid interactions differ. In other cell lines, mutations at amino acid positions \u00df292 (A549.epoB40 cells) and β422 (HeLa.EpoB1.8 cells) reduce both epothilone B and paclitaxel cytotoxicities but have little effect on discodermolide (24). Discodermolide is also insensitive to mutations at amino acids β 270 (PTX10 cells) and \beta364 (PTX22 cells; Ref. 13). Thus, discodermolide is unaffected by a number of β -tubulin mutations that increase resistance of cells to paclitaxel and/or epothilone, despite the fact that discodermolide competes with paclitaxel for binding to microtubules (11, 13). The results of the present study with peloruside highlight the uniqueness of peloruside to paclitaxel and epothilone B. Pryor et al. (11) found a similar pattern of resistances with laulimalide as we found with peloruside, indicating that both peloruside and laulimalide cytotoxicities are largely unaffected by the specific β -tubulin mutations in PTX10, PTX22, A8, or B10 cells. Thus, the studies with laulimalide (11) and our own results with peloruside provide independent support for the conclusion that peloruside and laulimalide do not exert their cytotoxic effects by binding to the taxoid site on β -tubulin.

The Peloruside Binding Site. The peloruside binding results in the present study indicate that peloruside does not bind to the paclitaxel site and suggest that peloruside and laulimalide bind to the same or overlapping sites, supporting the notion that the peloruside/laulimalide site for microtubule stabilization is a functional site that can bind different compounds. Both peloruside and laulimalide are macrolides, both are natural products from marine sponges, and neither one competes with paclitaxel for its binding. Another macrolide, however, epothilone B, does compete with paclitaxel (11). Epothilone B may therefore be ineffective as a competitor for peloruside or laulimalide binding to microtubules, although this possibility has not been directly tested. These results establish a new perspective in tumor chemotherapy because peloruside and laulimalide may prove more effective than other microtubule-stabilizing drugs against tumor cells that have developed paclitaxel/taxotere resistance through both P-gp overexpression and β -tubulin gene mutation.

Future efforts will be directed toward understanding the biochemical and structural features of the peloruside binding site. This will help define the characteristics of this new class of tubulin-stabilizing agents and promote the search for new compounds that bind to this site and show potential anticancer activities.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Isabel Barasoain for help with control cytotoxicity measurements, Dr. Arun K. Ghosh for laulimalide, Dr. Alicia Prieto for the mass spectroscopy measurements, and Matadero Madrid Norte S.A. and José Luis Gancedo S.L. for providing the calf brains for tubulin purification.

References

- West LM, Northcote PT, Battershill CN. Peloruside A: a potent cytotoxic macrolide isolated from the New Zealand marine sponge Mycale sp. J Org Chem 2000;65:445–9.
- Hood KA, Bäckström BT, West LM, Northcote PT, Berridge MV, Miller JH. The novel cytotoxic sponge metabolite peloruside A, structurally similar to bryostatin-1, has unique bioactivity independent of protein kinase C. Anticancer Drug Des 2001;16:155–66.
- Liao X, Wu Y, De Brabander JK. Total synthesis and absolute configuration of the novel microtubule-stabilizing agent peloruside A. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 2003; 42:1648–52.
- Hood KA, West LM, Rouwé B, et al. Peloruside, a novel anti-mitotic agent with paclitaxel-like microtubule-stabilizing activity. Cancer Res 2002;62:3356–60.
- Stachel SJ, Biswas K, Danishefsky J. The epothilones, eleutherobins, and related types of molecules. Curr Pharmaceut Design 2001;7:1277–90.
- Rowinsky EK, Eisenhauer EA, Chaudhry V, Arbuck SG, Donehower RC. Clinical toxicities encountered with paclitaxel (Taxol). Semin Oncol 1993;20:1–15.
- Parekh H, Wiesen K, Simpkins H. Acquisition of Taxol resistance via P-glycoproteinand non-P-glycoprotein-mediated mechanisms in human ovarian carcinoma cells. Biochem Pharmacol 1997;53:461–70.
- Giannakakou P, Sackett D, Kang Y, et al. Paclitaxel-resistant human ovarian cancer cells have mutant β-tubulins that exhibit impaired paclitaxel-driven polymerization. J Biol Chem 1997;272:17118–25.
- Giannakakou P, Gussio R, Nogales E, et al. A common pharmacophore for epothilone and taxanes: molecular basis for drug resistance conferred by tubulin mutations in human cancer cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000;97:2904–9.
- Kowalski RJ, Giannakakou P, Hamel E. Activities of the microtubule-stabilizing agents epothilones A and B with purified tubulin and in cells resistant to paclitaxel (Taxol). J Biol Chem 1997;272:2534–41.
- Pryor DE, O'Brate A, Bilcer G, et al. The microtubule stabilizing agent laulimalide does not bind in the taxoid site, kills cells resistant to paclitaxel and epothilones, and may not require its epoxide moiety for activity. Biochemistry 2002;41:9109–15.
- Bollag DM, McQueney PA, Zhu J, et al. Epothilones, a new class of microtubulestabilizing agents with a Taxol-like mechanism of action. Cancer Res 1995;55:2325–33.
- 13. Kowalski RJ, Giannakakou P, Gunasekera P, Longley RE, Day BW, Hamel E. The microtubule-stabilizing agent discodermolide competitively inhibits the binding of paclitaxel (Taxol) to tubulin polymers, enhances tubulin nucleation reactions more potently than paclitaxel, and inhibits the growth of paclitaxel-resistant cells. Mol Pharmacol 1997;52:613–22.
- Mooberry SL, Tien G, Hernandez AH, Plubrukarn A, Davidson BS. Laulimalide and isolaulimalide, new paclitaxel-like microtubule-stabilizing agents. Cancer Res 1999; 59:653–60.
- Ling V, Thompson LH. Reduced permeability in CHO cells as a mechanism of resistance to colchicine. J Cell Physiol 1973;83:103–16.
- Díaz JF, Andreu JM. Assembly of purified GDP-tubulin into microtubules induced by Taxol and taxotere: reversibility, ligand stoichiometry, and competition. Biochemistry 1993;32:2747–55.
- Oosawa F, Asakura S. Thermodynamics of the polymerization of protein. London: Academic Press; 1975.
- Andreu JM, Timasheff SN. The measurement of cooperative protein self-assembly by turbidity and other techniques. Methods Enzymol 1986;130:47–59.
- Díaz JF, Strobe R, Engelborghs Y, Souto AA, Andreu JM. Molecular recognition of Taxol by microtubules. Kinetics and thermodynamics of binding of fluorescent Taxol derivatives to an exposed site. J Biol Chem 2000;275:26265–76.
- Andreu JM, Barasoain I. The interaction of baccatin III with the Taxol binding site of microtubules determined by a homogeneous assay with fluorescent taxoid. Biochemistry 2001;40:11975–84.
- Nicolaou KC, Ritzén A, Namoto K, et al. Chemical synthesis and biological evaluation of novel epothilone B and trans-12,13-cyclopropyl epothilone B analogues. Tetrahedron 2002;58:6413–32.
- Buey RM, Díaz JF, Andreu JM, et al. Energetics of interaction of C12, cyclopropyl and C15 side chain epothilone analogs with the paclitaxel binding site. Relationship between binding affinity, microtubule stabilization and cytotoxicity. Chem Biol 2004;11:225–36.
- Andreu JM, Díaz JF, Gil R, et al. Solution structure of taxotere-induced microtubules to 3-nm resolution. The change in protofilament number is linked to the binding of the Taxol side chain. J Biol Chem 1994;269:31785–92.
- He L, Yang C-PH, Horwitz SB. Mutations in β-tubulin map to domains involved in regulation of microtubule stability in epothilone-resistant cell lines. Mol Cancer Ther 2001;1:3–10.